The impact of interacting dark energy on the cosmic web and galaxy clusters

Edoardo Carlesi



Daejeon, CosKASI, 16/04/2014

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ ののの

Quintessence Models

► General SM Lagrangian + dark sector:

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{SM+DM} + \frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 + m(\phi)\psi_{DM}\bar{\psi}_{DM} + V(\phi)$$

► Ratra-Peebles potential (*Ratra & Peebles 1988*):

$$V(\phi) = V_0 \left(\frac{\phi}{M_p}\right)^{\alpha}$$

Dark matter - dark energy interaction (Amendola 2000):

$$m(\phi) = m_0 \exp\left[-\beta(\phi) \frac{\phi}{M_p}\right]$$

 Parameter constraints (WMAP7, SNe, BAO) (β < 0.1) (Pettorino et al. 2012)

• Effective G for DM particles (ligh ϕ):

$$\tilde{G} = G_N(1+2\beta(\phi)^2)$$

- Newtonian gravity integrated in co-moving coordinates + hydrodynamical forces acting on baryons
- ▶ Probe the deep non-linear regime λ << 25Mpc, astrophysical predictions of cosmological models</p>
- Simulated with GADGET-2 Tree-PM + SPH code (Springel, 2005) using modified grav-solver for DM (Baldi et al., 2010), baryons do not interact with φ

 Settings: WMAP7 cosmology for all models, 2 × 1024³ DM+gas particles in a 250Mpc/h (comoving) box, 5 simulations (ACDM, uDE, cDE033, cDE066, cDE099)

The Cosmic Web

Dynamical identification of the environment using the velocity shear at the grid nodes ((Hoffman et al. 2012)):

$$\Sigma_{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{1}{2H_0} \left(\frac{\partial v_{\alpha}}{\partial r_{\beta}} + \frac{\partial v_{\beta}}{\partial r_{\alpha}} \right)$$

• Diagonalize $\Sigma_{\alpha\beta}$ and compute the eigenvalues $\lambda_{1,2,3}$,

$$Tr(\Sigma_{\alpha\beta}) = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 = -\overrightarrow{\nabla} \cdot \overrightarrow{V} \propto \delta_m$$

Set a threshold λ_{th} to classify:

void no $\lambda > \lambda_{th}$, sheet one $\lambda > \lambda_{th}$ filament two $\lambda > \lambda_{th}$, knot all $\lambda > \lambda_{th}$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- λ_{th} is a free parameter
- ► Correlations between halo properties and environment (c − M, λ, n(> M)) (EC, Knebe, Lewis, Wales, Yepes 2014 I)

Cosmic web: ΛCDM



Filling fractions:

	void	sheet	filament	knot
Mass	0.103	0.343	0.437	0.115
Volume	0.337	0.461	0.185	0.017

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三回 のへで

Cosmic web: cDE099



Filling fractions:

	void	sheet	filament	knot
Mass	0.099	0.339	0.443	0.118
Volume	0.335	0.461	0.186	0.017

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ = 臣 = のへで

Environment dependence: halo mass function in void and knots

Halo dependence on environment reveals that voids in cDE are less dense: the fifth force pulls matter out of them



▲ロ▶ ▲圖▶ ▲画▶ ▲画▶ 三回 - のへの

Void properties

Properties of voids (now identified with Voronoi Tessellation / watershed algorithm) can be used to characterize cDE (Sutter & EC in prep.)



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 目 のへで

Galaxy clusters

Influence of cDE on gas properties *(EC, Knebe, Lewis, Yepes 2014 II)* look at stacked profiles for clusters' gas fraction and pressure profiles



Gas infall towards the center is comparatively slower than DM: smaller f_{gas} in cDE cluster centers

э

Dark matter density profile in clusters



Central regions of the haloes are less dense: effective pressure (interaction driven) counteracts gravity

э

Large galaxy clusters are expected to be detectable sources of γ -ray from DM annihilation. The expected flux at a given direction Ψ_0 :

$$F(E_{\gamma}>E_{th})=J(\Psi_{0}) imes f_{part}(E_{\gamma}>E_{th})$$

The J factor models the geometry of the problem:

$$J(\Psi_0)\propto\int
ho_{DM}^2(r)d\lambda$$

 ϕ effect on f_{part} is negligible (DE force is long range),

DM detection in clusters

We compute the $J(\Psi_0)$ for average clusters at different *zs* to see how this affects observations such as Fermi and CTA (Cherenkov Telescope Array) (*Gomez-Vargas & EC in prep.*)



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

DM detection in clusters



The cDE suppression of $\rho_{DM}(r)$ has a large effect on J.

Main effects of cDE can be seen in:

Halo abundances in underdense regions

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

- Void properties
- Cluster profiles (gas, DM)
- Affects DM detection in clusters